

# Recursively Enumerable and Recursive Languages

## Definition:

A language is **recursively enumerable** if some Turing machine accepts it

Let  $L$  be a recursively enumerable language  
and  $M$  the Turing Machine that accepts it.  
(recognize)

For string  $w$  :

if  $w \in L$  then  $M$  halts in a final state

if  $w \notin L$  then  $M$  halts in a non-final state  
or loops forever

Recognize

## Definition:

A language is **recursive**  
if some Turing machine accepts it  
and halts on any input string

## In other words:

A language is recursive if there is  
a **membership algorithm** for it

Let  $L$  be a recursive language

and  $M$  the Turing Machine that accepts it

For string  $w$ :

if  $w \in L$  then  $M$  halts in a final state

if  $w \notin L$  then  $M$  halts in a non-final state

Decide

## We will prove:

1. There is a specific language which is not recursively enumerable (not accepted by any Turing Machine)
2. There is a specific language which is recursively enumerable but not recursive

# Non Recursively Enumerable



Recursively Enumerable

Recursive

A Language which  
is not  
Recursively Enumerable



We want to find a language that  
is not Recursively Enumerable

This language is not accepted by any  
Turing Machine

Consider alphabet  $\{a\}$

Strings:  $a, aa, aaa, aaaa, \dots$

$a^1 \quad a^2 \quad a^3 \quad a^4 \quad \dots$

Consider Turing Machines  
that accept languages over alphabet  $\{a\}$

They are countable:

$M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4, \dots$

Example language accepted by  $M_i$

$$L(M_i) = \{aa, aaaa, aaaaaa\}$$

$$L(M_i) = \{a^2, a^4, a^6\}$$

Alternative representation

	$a^1$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$a^4$	$a^5$	$a^6$	$a^7$	...
$L(M_i)$	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	...

	$a^1$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$a^4$	$\dots$
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	$\dots$
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	$\dots$

All

Consider the language

$$L = \{a^i : a^i \in L(M_i)\}$$

$L$  consists from the 1's in the diagonal

	$a^1$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$a^4$	$\dots$
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	$\dots$
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	$\dots$

$$L = \{a^3, a^4, \dots\}$$

Consider the language  $\bar{L}$

$$L = \{a^i : a^i \in L(M_i)\}$$

$$\bar{L} = \{a^i : a^i \notin L(M_i)\}$$

$\bar{L}$  consists of the 0's in the diagonal



	$a^1$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$a^4$	$\dots$
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	$\dots$
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	$\dots$

$$\bar{L} = \{a^1, a^2, \dots\}$$

# Theorem:

Language  $\overline{L}$  is not recursively enumerable

## Proof:

Assume for contradiction that  $\bar{L}$  is recursively enumerable

There must exist some machine  $M_k$  that accepts  $\bar{L}$

$$L(M_k) = \bar{L}$$

	$a^1$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$a^4$	$\dots$
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	$\dots$
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	$\dots$

Question:  $M_k = M_1$ ?

	$a^1$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$a^4$	$\dots$
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	$\dots$
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	$\dots$

Answer:

$$M_k \neq M_1$$

$$a^1 \in L(M_k)$$

$$a^1 \notin L(M_1)$$

	$a^1$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$a^4$	$\dots$
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	$\dots$
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	$\dots$

Question:  $M_k = M_2$  ?

	$a^1$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$a^4$	$\dots$
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	$\dots$
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	$\dots$

Answer:  $M_k \neq M_2$

$$a^2 \in L(M_k)$$

$$a^2 \notin L(M_2)$$

	$a^1$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$a^4$	$\dots$
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	$\dots$
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	$\dots$

Question:  $M_k = M_3$  ?



	$a^1$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$a^4$	$\dots$
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	$\dots$
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	$\dots$

$$a^3 \notin L(M_k)$$

$$a^3 \in L(M_3)$$

Answer:  $M_k \neq M_3$

Similarly:  $M_k \neq M_i$  for any  $i$

Because either:

$$a^i \in L(M_k)$$

or

$$a^i \notin L(M_k)$$

$$a^i \notin L(M_i)$$

$$a^i \in L(M_i)$$

Therefore, the machine  $M_k$  cannot exist

Therefore, the language  $\bar{L}$   
is not recursively enumerable

End of Proof

## Observation:

There is no algorithm that describes  $\overline{L}$


(otherwise  $\overline{L}$  would be accepted by some Turing Machine)

Non Recursively Enumerable

$\bar{L}$

Recursively Enumerable

Recursive



A Language which is  
Recursively Enumerable  
and not Recursive

We want to find a language which

Is recursively  
enumerable



There is a  
Turing Machine  
that accepts  
the language

But not  
recursive



The machine  
doesn't halt  
on some input

We will prove that the language

$$L = \{a^i : a^i \in L(M_i)\}$$

Is recursively enumerable  
but not recursive



	$a^1$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$a^4$	$\dots$
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	$\dots$
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	$\dots$
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	$\dots$

$$L = \{a^3, a^4, \dots\}$$

## Theorem:

The language  $L = \{a^i : a^i \in L(M_i)\}$

is recursively enumerable

**Proof:**

We will give a Turing Machine that  
accepts  $L$

# Turing Machine that accepts $L$

For any input string  $w$

- Compute  $i$ , for which  $w = a^i$
- Find Turing machine  $M_i$   
(using an enumeration procedure  
for Turing Machines)
- Simulate  $M_i$  on input  $a^i$
- If  $M_i$  accepts, then accept  $w$

End of Proof

## Observation:

Recursively enumerable

$$L = \{a^i : a^i \in L(M_i)\}$$

Not recursively enumerable

$$\bar{L} = \{a^i : a^i \notin L(M_i)\}$$

(Thus, also not recursive)

## Theorem:

The language  $L = \{a^i : a^i \in L(M_i)\}$   
is not recursive

**Proof:**

Assume for contradiction that  $L$  is recursive

Then  $\bar{L}$  is recursive:

Take the Turing Machine  $M$  that accepts  $L$

$M$  halts on any input:

If  $M$  accepts then reject

If  $M$  rejects then accept

Therefore:

$\bar{L}$  is recursive

But we know:

$\bar{L}$  is not recursively enumerable  
thus, not recursive

**CONTRADICTION!!!!**



Therefore,  $L$  is not recursive

End of Proof


# Non Recursively Enumerable

$\overline{L}$

Recursively Enumerable

$L$

Recursive



# Turing acceptable languages and Enumeration Procedures

We will prove:

(weak result)

- If a language is recursive then there is an enumeration procedure for it

(strong result)


- A language is recursively enumerable if and only if there is an enumeration procedure for it

## Theorem:

if a language  $L$  is recursive then  
there is an enumeration procedure for it

Proof:

## Enumeration Machine



Enumerates all strings of input alphabet

Accepts  $L$  and halt, here condition "L is recursive" is used. Recursive L can be accepted

If the alphabet is  $\{a, b\}$  then  
 $\tilde{M}$  can enumerate strings as follows:

*a*  
*b*  
*aa*  
*ab*  
*ba*  
*bb*  
*aaa*  
*aab*  
.....

# Enumeration procedure

Repeat:

$\tilde{M}$  generates a string  $w$

$M$  checks if  $w \in L$

YES: print  $w$  to output

NO: ignore  $w$

End of Proof



Example:  $L = \{b, ab, bb, aaa, \dots\}$


$\tilde{M}$	$L(M)$	Enumeration Output
$a$		
$b$	$b$	$b$
$aa$		
$ab$	$ab$	$ab$
$ba$		
$bb$	$bb$	$bb$
$aaa$	$aaa$	$aaa$
$aab$		
$\dots\dots$	$\dots\dots$	$\dots\dots$

## Theorem:

if language  $L$  is recursively enumerable then there is an enumeration procedure for it

Proof:

## Enumeration Machine



Enumerates all strings of input alphabet

Accepts  $L$  or may loop

If the alphabet is  $\{a, b\}$  then  
 $\tilde{M}$  can enumerate strings as follows:

*a*  
*b*  
*aa*  
*ab*  
*ba*  
*bb*  
*aaa*  
*aab*

# NAIVE APPROACH

## Enumeration procedure

Repeat:  $\tilde{M}$  generates a string  $w$

$M$  checks if  $w \in L$

YES: print  $w$  to output

NO: ignore  $w$

Problem: If  $w \notin L$

machine  $M$  may loop forever

# BETTER APPROACH

$\tilde{M}$  Generates first string  $w_1$

$M$  executes first step on  $w_1$

$\tilde{M}$  Generates second string  $w_2$

$M$  executes first step on  $w_2$   
second step on  $w_1$

$\tilde{M}$  Generates third string  $w_3$


$M$  executes first step on  $w_3$

second step on  $w_2$

third step on  $w_1$

And so on.....

$w_1$        $w_2$        $w_3$        $w_4$       ...



Step  
in  
string

...



If for any string  $w_i$   
machine  $M$  halts in a final state  
then it prints  $w_i$  on the output

End of Proof

## Theorem:


If for language  $L$   
there is an enumeration procedure  
then  $L$  is recursively enumerable

**Proof:**

Input Tape



Machine that  
accepts  $L$



# Turing machine that accepts $L$

For input string  $w$

Repeat:

- Using the enumerator, generate the next string of  $L$
- Compare generated string with  $w$   
If same, accept and exit loop

End of Proof

We have proven:

A language is recursively enumerable  
if and only if  
there is an enumeration procedure for it